

concurring opinion

In accordance with Article 45 § 2 of the Convention and Rule 74 § 2 of the Rules of Court, the following separate opinions are annexed to this judgment:

- (a) concurring opinion of Judge Ranzoni, joined by Judges Yudkivska, Kūris, Harutyunyan, Paczolay and Chanturia
- (b) concurring opinion of Judge Kūris;
- (c) joint dissenting opinion of Judges Kjølbros, Poláčková, Koskelo and Nordén;
- (d) joint dissenting opinion of Judges Koskelo and Nordén.

CONCURRING OPINION OF JUDGE RANZONI, JOINED BY JUDGES YUDKIVSKA, KŪRIS, HARUTYUNYAN, PACZOLAY AND CHANTURIA

ARTICLE 45 Reasons for judgments and decisions

1. Reasons shall be given for judgments as well as for decisions declaring applications admissible or inadmissible.

2. If a judgment does not represent, in whole or in part, the unanimous opinion of the judges, any judge shall be entitled to deliver a separate opinion.

https://www.echr.coe.int/Documents/Rules_Court_ENG.pdf

Rule 741 – Contents of the judgment

1. A judgment as referred to in Articles 28, 42 and 44 of the Convention shall contain

- (a) the names of the President and the other judges constituting the Chamber or the Committee concerned, and the name of the Registrar or the Deputy Registrar;
- (b) the dates on which it was adopted and delivered;
- (c) a description of the parties;
- (d) the names of the Agents, advocates or advisers of the parties;
- (e) an account of the procedure followed;
- (f) the facts of the case;
- (g) a summary of the submissions of the parties;
- (h) the reasons in point of law;
- (i) the operative provisions;
- (j) the decision, if any, in respect of costs;
- (k) the number of judges constituting the majority;
- (l) where appropriate, a statement as to which text is authentic.

2. Any judge who has taken part in the consideration of the case by a Chamber or by the Grand Chamber shall be entitled to annex to the judgment either a separate opinion, concurring with or dissenting from that judgment, or a bare statement of dissent.

